

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Solid State Chemistry



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jssc

Antiferromagnetism of perovskite EuZrO₃

Yanhua Zong, Koji Fujita*, Hirofumi Akamatsu, Shunsuke Murai, Katsuhisa Tanaka

Department of Material Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Kyoto University, Katsura, Nishikyo-ku, Kyoto 615-8510, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 22 July 2009 Received in revised form 19 October 2009 Accepted 22 October 2009 Available online 29 October 2009

Keywords: Orthorhombic perovskite Europium zirconate Antiferromagnetism Magnetic interaction Mössbauer spectroscopy

1. Introduction

Divalent europium (Eu²⁺)-bearing perovskite oxides, EuM⁴⁺O₃ (e.g. *M*=Ti, Nb), have been studied in the last several decades for their intriguing magnetic and electrical properties [1–7]. A variety of magnetic and electrical properties has been observed, depending on the kind of *M* cations, oxygen non-stoichiometry, and aliovalent cationic substitution. For instance, europium niobate (EuNbO₃) behaves like a ferromagnetic (FM) metal [1,2], while the introduction of oxygen vacancies converts it into a superconductor with a critical temperature of about 6 K [3]. On the other hand, europium titanate (EuTiO₃) is an antiferromagnetic (AFM) insulator with a Néel temperature (T_N) of about 5.5 K, and quantum paraelectric properties with strong spin-lattice coupling are observed at low temperatures [4–7]. Our recent experimental results have demonstrated that the AFM state of EuTiO₃ is converted into a FM state through the lattice expansion in epitaxial thin films [8], which is in good agreement with the theoretical prediction proposed by Ranjan et al. [6]. In addition, it has been reported that partial substitution of trivalent rare-earth ions (e.g. La³⁺, Gd³⁺) for Eu²⁺ ions in EuTiO₃ introduces electrons into the conduction band of Ti 3d states, resulting in the occurrence of FM metals [9].

Compared with EuNbO₃ and EuTiO₃, the structure and physical properties of europium zirconate (EuZrO₃) have been less investigated. Shafer [10] first prepared EuZrO₃ by a high-temperature solid-state reaction using ZrO₂ and EuO as starting

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: fujita@dipole7.kuic.kyoto-u.ac.jp (K. Fujita).

ABSTRACT

Polycrystalline EuZrO₃ has been synthesized by the solid-state reaction between EuO and ZrO₂, and its structural and magnetic properties have been investigated. Rietveld analysis of the X-ray diffraction pattern indicates that EuZrO₃ crystallizes in an orthorhombic perovskite structure. ¹⁵¹Eu Mössbauer effect measurement reveals that almost all the europium ions are present as the divalent state and occupy distorted sites with non-axial electric field gradients, in agreement with the orthorhombic structure. In contrast to previous reports, an antiferromagnetic transition was observed around 4.1 K. The magnetic structure below the Néel temperature has been discussed.

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

materials and ascribed its crystal structure to a cubic perovskite. Recently, Viallet et al. [11] performed X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern refinement on EuZrO₃ prepared by the same procedure in Ref. [10] and showed that it crystallizes in orthorhombic symmetry at room temperature. Namely, the previous reports on the crystal structure of EuZrO₃ are quite controversial. In addition, the magnetic ordering of EuZrO₃ has not been observed down to 4K [10,11] which was the lowest measurement temperature. Therefore, further investigation is necessary to clarify both the crystal structure and magnetism of EuZrO₃.

In this study, we have synthesized polycrystalline EuZrO₃ following the method described in Refs. [10,11], and examined its crystal structure and magnetic properties. Almost all europium ions are present as the divalent state in the synthesized EuZrO₃, as revealed by ¹⁵¹Eu Mössbauer spectroscopy. The crystal structure is refined to an orthorhombic perovskite-type structure (*Pbnm* space group) through Rietveld analysis of the XRD pattern. In contrast to previous reports, measurements of magnetic suscept-ibility down to 2 K demonstrate that orthorhombic perovskite EuZrO₃ behaves as an antiferromagnet below about 4.1 K. This is the first observation of magnetic ordering in EuZrO₃.

2. Experimental procedures

Polycrystalline EuZrO₃ was prepared from reagent-grade Eu₂O₃, ZrO₂, and graphite powders through the following two processes: (I) Eu₂O₃+C→CO+2EuO; and (II) EuO+ZrO₂→EuZrO₃. In the first step, Eu₂O₃ and slightly excessive graphite were thoroughly mixed and pressed into a pellet. The pellet was then sintered at 1450 °C for 6 h in an Ar(95)/H₂(5) (vol%) atmosphere.

^{0022-4596/\$ -} see front matter \circledcirc 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jssc.2009.10.014

Although the XRD pattern of the resultant product (not shown) revealed the presence of a minor impurity phase identified as Eu_3O_4 (~3.9 wt%), the major crystalline phase was EuO. In the second step, the resultant EuO pellet was reground into powder and thoroughly mixed with ZrO₂. The mixture was again pressed into a pellet and sintered at 1550 °C for 10 h in the Ar(95)/H₂(5) (vol%) atmosphere.

Powder XRD patterns were recorded on a Rigaku Rint 2500 X-ray diffractometer with CuK α radiation (λ =1.5406Å). Rietveld analysis was performed by a least-square method using the GSAS refinement program [12]. The lattice constants, atomic coordinates, occupation factors, and isotropic thermal parameters were refined freely for all atoms. Crystal structure of EuZrO₃ was drawn with the refined parameters using the VESTA software [13]. In order to evaluate the valence state of europium ions and the local environment around Eu²⁺ ions, ¹⁵¹Eu Mössbauer effect measurements were performed in a standard transmission geometry at room temperature using 151 Sm₂O₃ with activity of 1.85 GBq as a 21.5 keV γ -ray source. The velocity calibration was done with the magnetic hyperfine spectrum of α -Fe foil obtained using ⁵⁷Codoped Rh as a 14.4 keV γ -ray source. The Mössbauer spectrum of EuF₃ was measured as a standard of Doppler velocity. As a reference, we also measured the Mössbauer spectrum of EuS with rock-salt structure in order to determine the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of Eu²⁺ absorption peak in cubic symmetry; the FWHM was estimated to be about 2.5 mm/s for our γ -ray source. Magnetization measurements were carried out with a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer (MPMS2, Quantum Design). The temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibilities was measured in a range of 2-300 K under both zero-field-cooling (ZFC) and field-cooling (FC) conditions at an external magnetic field of 50 Oe. The field dependence of magnetization was recorded at 2K under magnetic fields up to 5 T.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Crystal structure

Fig. 1 shows the XRD pattern of the polycrystalline $EuZrO_3$ sample at room temperature (open circles). All the diffraction



peaks can be indexed as an orthorhombic perovskite phase (Pbnm space group), which is consistent with the result reported by Viallet et al. [11] but not with that by Shafer [10]. Utilizing the orthorhombic structure of SrZrO₃ as a starting model, Rietveld refinement was performed, yielding an overall good agreement between the observed and calculated diffraction profiles (solid line). The final refinement converged to R_{wp} =7.8%, R_p =5.2%, and χ^2 =1.92. The R_p factor is much smaller than that in Ref. [11] (11.9%). Some structural parameters deduced from the Rietveld refinement are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. The refined lattice constants. a = 5.79469(9), b = 5.82521(7), and c = 8.19799(14)Å, are close to those reported in Ref. [11]. A view of the crystal structure of EuZrO₃ is illustrated in Fig. 2(a). The structure shows a GdFeO₃type distortion through the rotation of ZrO₆ octahedra, due to the smaller size of Eu²⁺ ion compared with the cubo-octahedral interstice formed by the corner-sharing ZrO₆ network. The rotating angles in a-b plane (Φ) and normal to a-b plane (Θ) are estimated to be 6.2° and 12.7°, respectively, as shown in Figs. 2(b) and (c). The degree of the structure distortion of ABO₃type perovskites can be evaluated by the tolerance factor, $t = \langle A - 0 \rangle / \sqrt{2} \langle B - 0 \rangle$, where $\langle A - 0 \rangle$ and $\langle B - 0 \rangle$ represent the mean cation-oxygen interatomic distances in the A- and B-site, respectively [14]. Geometrically, t equals to 1 for an ideal (cubic) perovskite. For EuZrO₃ where Eu²⁺ and Zr⁴⁺ ions occupy the A- and B-site, respectively, t is estimated to be 0.983, which again suggests a certain distortion from ideal perovskite structure.

3.2. ¹⁵¹Eu Mössbauer spectroscopy

Fig. 3 shows the room-temperature ¹⁵¹Eu Mössbauer spectrum of EuZrO₃. Two peaks are observed around -13 and 0.5 mm/s, assigned to Eu²⁺ and Eu³⁺ absorption, respectively. From the area ratio of the two absorption peaks, the fraction of Eu^{2+} relative to the total europium ions was estimated to be about 0.96. Since the effective Debye temperature of Eu^{2+} is usually lower than that of Eu^{3+} (e.g. 195 K for Eu^{2+} and 220 K for Eu^{3+} in $EuPd_3S_4$ [15], and 145 K for Eu^{2+} and 261 K for Eu^{3+} in fluorozirconate glass [16]), the real fraction of Eu^{2+} could be higher than 0.96. The fraction of Eu²⁺ in the present sample is comparable to those in EuZrO₃ and EuTiO₃ as reported previously [5,10]. A close look at Fig. 3 reveals that the Eu²⁺ absorption peak is asymmetrically broadened with a shoulder around -11.5 mm/s, suggesting the presence of electric quadrupole interaction between the electric field gradient and the electric quadrupole moment of ¹⁵¹Eu nucleus. Since the fit of asymmetric Mössbauer spectrum with a single Lorentzian gives rise to a significant error in isomer shift value [17], we have utilized the method developed by Shenoy and Dunlap for analysis of pure quadrupole spectra [18]. In their method, the γ -ray resonance energy between (I^*, I_z^*) and (I, I_z) is given by

$$R(I_{z}^{*}, I_{z}) = eV_{zz}[Q_{e}P(I^{*}, I_{z}^{*}) - Q_{g}P(I, I_{z})] + \delta,$$
(1)

Table 1

Atomic coordination, site occupancy, and isotropic thermal factors $(U_{\rm iso})$ for orthorhombic EuZrO₃.

| | x | У | Z | Occupancy | U _{iso} (Å ²) |
|----|-------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------------------------------|
| Eu | 0.00557(25) | 0.52478(8) | 0.25 | 0.988(1) | 0.0183(2) |
| Zr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.971(2) | 0.0136(3) |
| O1 | -0.0789(19) | -0.0115(9) | 0.25 | 1.029(24) | 0.0189(48) |
| O2 | 0.2171(13) | 0.2798(11) | 0.0458(11) | 0.981(18) | 0.0238(35) |

Lattice constants (*Pbnm*): a=5.79469(9), b=5.82521(7), and c=8.19799(14)Å. Weighted profile R_{wp} =7.8%, profile R_p =5.2%, and reduced χ^2 =1.92.



Table 2

Selected distances (Å) in EuZrO₃.

| NN Eu-Eu (×2) NN Eu-Eu (×2) NN Eu-Eu (×2) Mean NN < Eu-Eu > NNN Eu-Eu (×2) NNN Eu-Eu (×2) NNN Eu-Eu (×4) NNN Eu-Eu (×4) | 4.1540(21) 4.0630(20) 4.10966(11) 4.1089 5.7950(20) 5.8252(7) 5.6640(11) 5.955(11) | NN Eu-O1 NN Eu-O1 NN Eu-O1 NN Eu-O2 (\times 2) NN Eu-O2 (\times 2) | 2.482(11) 2.745(5) 3.162(5) 3.329(12) 2.518(7) 2.755(8) 2.974(9) 3.438(8) | NN Zr-O1 (×2) NN Zr-O2 (×2) NN Zr-O2 (×2) Mean NN <zr-o></zr-o> | 2.100(2) 2.093(7) 2.115(7) 2.103 |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| NNN Eu-Eu (\times 4) NNN Eu-Eu (\times 4) Mean NNN \langle Eu-Eu \rangle | 5.9535(11) 5.8092 | NN Eu-O2 (\times 2) NN Eu-O2 (\times 2) Mean NN \langle Eu-O \rangle | 2.974(9) 3.438(8) 2.924 | | |



Fig. 2. (a) Crystal structure of EuZrO₃ drawn based on Rietveld refinement results. (b,c) Schematic illustration of the structure of EuZrO₃ projected along the [001] and [110] directions, respectively. The dashed lines indicate the corresponding positions of ZrO₆ octahedra in the ideal perovskite structure.



Fig. 3. Room-temperature Mössbauer spectrum of EuZrO₃ (open squares). The solid line represents the theoretical spectrum calculated by Eqs. (1)–(3) in which the presence of quadrupole interaction is considered. The component lines of the 12 transitions are also shown for the Eu^{2+} absorption peak at around -12 mm/s. The Eu^{3+} absorption peak at around 0.5 mm/s is simply analyzed by a single Lorenzian because of the poor shape resolution. The bottom curve is the difference between experimental and calculated profiles.

where *e* is the elementary charge, V_{zz} is the electric field gradient in the direction *z*, Q_e and Q_g are excited- and ground-state nuclear quadrupole moments, respectively, I^* and *I* are excited- and ground-state nuclear spins, respectively, I^*_z and I_z are *z* projections of excited- and ground-state nuclear spins, respectively, and δ is the isomer shift. $P(I^*, I^*_z)$ and $P(I, I_z)$ are written as

$$P(I, I_z) = \sum_{N=0}^{4} a_N(I, I_z) \eta^N,$$
(2)

where η is the asymmetry parameter of the electric field gradient, and $a_{\rm N}(I, I_z)$ is the eigenvalue coefficient (see Ref. [18]). The transition intensity is expressed as

$$A(I^*, I_z^*, I, I_z) = \sum_{N=0}^{4} b_N(I^*, I_z^*, I, I_z)\eta^N,$$
(3)

where $b_{N}(I^{*}, I_{2}^{*}, I, I_{2})$ is the intensity coefficient (see Ref. [18]). For ¹⁵¹Eu, $I^* = 7/2$, I = 5/2, and $Q_e/Q_g = 1.34$ [5]. Therefore, there are 12 possible transitions in the presence of quadrupole interactions. In calculation of the theoretical spectrum using Eqs. (1)-(3), we assume that each transition has a Lorentzian line shape with a FWHM of γ . In addition, we ignore magnetic hyperfine interaction because EuZrO₃ is paramagnetic at room temperature as described below. The fit of the theoretical curve to the Eu²⁺ absorption peak yields δ = -12.64 ± 0.02 mm/s, $eV_{zz}Q_g$ = -10.32 ± 0.21 mm/s, $\gamma = 2.65 \pm 0.05$ mm/s, and $\eta = 0.46 \pm 0.05$. The value of δ reflects the electron density at the nucleus [19]. For Eu²⁺containing ionic compounds, the δ value depends on the 6s electron density of Eu^{2+} , which is affected by the covalency of chemical bond between Eu^{2+} and the surrounding ligands and/or the coordination number of ligands for Eu²⁺ [20,21]. The δ value of EuZrO₃ is very close to that of cubic perovskite EuTiO₃ $(-12.5 \pm 0.1 \text{ mm/s})$ but slightly different from that of EuZrO₃ $(-13.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ mm/s})$ reported by Berkooz [21] (note that the values of δ reported in Ref. [21], which were relative to Eu₂O₃, are converted to those referred to EuF₃ by adding 1.037 mm/s to the original values). It is most probable that the difference in δ between the present EuZrO₃ and the one reported previously stems from the fact that the contribution of quadrupole interactions is taken into account in the present analysis; otherwise, the δ value is equal to the datum derived by Berkooz. corresponding exactly to the peak position of the Eu²⁺ absorption (see Fig. 3). Based on the above analyses of XRD pattern and Mössbauer spectrum, we believe that the δ value (-12.64 mm/s) obtained in this work is more reliable. On the other hand, the quadrupole interaction for Eu²⁺-containing compounds mainly reflects the electric field gradient caused by the surrounding ligands because Eu²⁺ has a half-filled 4*f*-shell with nominally zero orbital angular momentum; namely, the anisotropy of 4f electrons is negligibly small [19]. The non-zero quadrupole interaction $(eV_{zz}Q_g = -10.32 \text{ mm/s})$ and non-zero asymmetry parameter (η =0.46) indicate the presence of non-axial electric field gradients at Eu²⁺ sites, consistent with the orthorhombic structure of $EuZrO_3$ where the Eu^{2+} sites have the point symmetry $m(C_{1h})$. The value of γ is almost the same as the

FWHM of absorption peak obtained for cubic EuS (2.5 mm/s), ensuring the occupation of single crystallographically equivalent sites by Eu²⁺ ions.

3.3. Magnetic properties

Fig. 4(a) illustrates the magnetic susceptibility (χ) as a function of temperature (T) measured for EuZrO₃ under the external magnetic field of 50 Oe. A kink structure corresponding to a magnetic transition is observed at 4.1 K without any divergence between ZFC and FC magnetic susceptibilities. The magnetization (M) as a function of external magnetic field (H) at 2 K is shown in Fig. 4(b). Since the Eu²⁺ ion has an S=7/2 spin with a Heisenberg character and least magnetic anisotropy, the Eu²⁺ spins are first flipped and then gradually changed into FM arrangement with increasing H. The magnitude of M tends to be saturated at higher H than 2 T. The saturation magnetization is $6.87\mu_{\rm B}$, which is very close to the theoretical spin-only magnetic moment of Eu²⁺ ($7\mu_{\rm B}$). This result, together with the presence of kink structure in the χ -Tcurve, indicates the AFM order at the ground state.

We have analyzed the χ -*T* curve in the high-temperature region by considering both the Curie paramagnetism of Eu²⁺ and



Fig. 4. (a) Temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility of $EuZrO_3$ (open squares) measured in a magnetic field (*H*) of 50 Oe. The solid line represents the theoretical curve based on Eqs. (4)–(6). The inset shows both FC (open squares) and ZFC (closed squares) curves in the low temperature region. (b) Field dependence of magnetization of $EuZrO_3$ (closed circles) measured at 2 K. The solid line is a guide for the eye.

the Van Vleck paramagnetism of impurity Eu³⁺ ions:

$$\chi_{\rm mol} = n \chi_{\rm mol} ({\rm Eu}^{2+}) + (1-n) \chi_{\rm mol} ({\rm Eu}^{3+}) + \chi_0, \tag{4}$$

where *n* is the molar ratio of Eu^{2+} to the total europium ions, and χ_0 is the temperature-independent term. According to the Curie–Weiss law, the magnetic susceptibility of Eu^{2+} , $\chi_{mol}(Eu^{2+})$, is represented by

$$\chi_{\rm mol}({\rm Eu}^{2+}) = \frac{N_{\rm A}(\mu_{\rm eff})^2}{3k(T - \theta_{\rm W})},\tag{5}$$

where N_A is Avogadro's number, μ_{eff} the effective magnetic moment of Eu²⁺, *k* the Boltzmann constant, and θ_W the Weiss temperature. The ground state 7F_0 of Eu³⁺ is nonmagnetic, and the excited states 7F_J (*J*=1, 2,..., 6) are so close to the ground state that the energy differences are comparable to *kT* at room temperature. In consideration of the first three excited states, the magnetic susceptibility of Eu³⁺, $\chi_{mol}(Eu^{3+})$, can be calculated by the Van Vleck formula [22]:

$$\chi_{\rm mol}({\rm Eu}^{3+}) = \frac{N_{\rm A}\mu_{\rm B}^2}{3kT} \times \frac{24/a + (13.5 - 1.5/a)e^{-a} + (67.5 - 2.5/a)e^{-3a} + (189 - 3.5/a)e^{-6a}}{1 + 3e^{-a} + 5e^{-3a} + 7e^{-6a}},$$
(6)

where $a = \lambda/kT$ is the ratio of the multiplet width (λ is the spinorbit coupling constant) to the thermal energy. If we take $\lambda = 370 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ as reported for EuAlO₃ [23] and $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 7.94\mu_{\text{B}}$ as obtained theoretically, the experimental susceptibility can be well reproduced with Eqs. (4)–(6) (see the solid line in Fig. 4(a)), yielding n=0.97 and $\theta_{\text{W}} = +0.07$ K. The value of n is very close to that obtained from the Mössbauer measurement. The AFM behavior with positive θ_{W} value implies the presence of FM interactions as well as the AFM interactions between localized Eu²⁺ spins in EuZrO₃.

In the final part, we will address the mechanism responsible for the observed AFM ordering in EuZrO₃. In Eu²⁺-containing crystalline oxides with perovskite-type structure, the magnetic structure is predominantly determined by the nearest-neighbor (NN) and next-nearest-neighbor (NNN) exchange interactions between Eu²⁺ ions [4–6,24–26]. These exchange interactions are characterized by the exchange constants J_1 and J_2 , respectively. For AFM perovskites where magnetic ions are located on a simple cubic lattice, there exist three types of AFM ordering, namely, *A*-, *C*-, and *G*-type (see Fig. 5), and the values of J_1 and J_2 can be derived from the following equations using the molecular-field approximation [26],

$$\theta_{\rm W} = \frac{2S(S+1)}{3k} (6J_1 + 12J_2),\tag{7}$$

$$T_{\rm N1} = \frac{2S(S+1)}{3k}(2J_1 - 4J_2),\tag{8}$$

$$T_{\rm N2} = \frac{2S(S+1)}{3k} (2J_1 + 4J_2),\tag{9}$$

$$T_{\rm N3} = \frac{2S(S+1)}{3k}(-6J_1 + 12J_2),\tag{10}$$

where T_{N1} , T_{N2} , and T_{N3} are the Néel temperatures for *A*-, *C*-, and *G*-type AFM ordering, respectively. In pseudocubic perovskite EuZrO₃, Eu²⁺ ions locate on an approximately cubic lattice. Disregarding the structure distortion and setting *S*=7/2, one obtains J_1/k =+0.033 K and J_2/k =-0.031 K for an *A*-type AFM structure using Eqs. (7) and (8), while the analysis on a *G*-type AFM structure using Eqs. (7) and (10) yields J_1/k =-0.032 K and J_2/k =+0.017 K. There is no solution by combining Eq. (7) with Eq. (9), so the possibility of the *C*-type AFM ordering can be ruled out for EuZrO₃. Although we do not have further experimental evidence to distinguish the *A*-type AFM structure from the *G*-type one for EuZrO₃ at this moment, previous analysis of magnetic



Fig. 5. Schematic depiction of the *A*-, *C*-, and *G*-type AFM structures. The arrows represent spin orientation in a cubic lattice.

structure for a series of Eu²⁺-containing perovskite oxides may allow us to deduce the magnetic structure of EuZrO₃. A neutron diffraction study on cubic perovskite EuTiO₃ revealed a G-type AFM structure [4], and the analysis of molecular-field approximation on EuTiO₃ yielded $J_1/k = -0.014$ K and $J_2/k = +0.037$ K [5]. Greedan et al. [26] applied the molecular-field approximation to cubic perovskite EuMg_{0.5}W_{0.5}O₃ and EuLu_{0.5}Ta_{0.5}O₃, and compared the sets of exchange constants for A- and G-type with the J_1 and J_2 values obtained for EuTiO₃. They found that the J_1 and J_2 values vary systematically with the NN and NNN distances between Eu²⁺ ions, respectively, provided that the AFM structure for EuMg_{0.5}W_{0.5}O₃ and EuLu_{0.5}Ta_{0.5}O₃ is of G-type. Namely, the value of J_1/k decreases in the order of EuTiO₃ (-0.014 K), EuMg_{0.5}W_{0.5}O₃ (-0.030 K for G-type), and EuLu_{0.5} $Ta_{0.5}O_3$ (-0.095 K for G-type), which is the order of increasing NN Eu²⁺-Eu²⁺ distance: EuTiO₃ (3.904 Å), EuMg_{0.5}W_{0.5}O₃ (3.951 Å), and EuLu_{0.5}Ta_{0.5}O₃ (4.100 Å). The value of J_2/k also decreases in the order of EuTiO₃ (+0.037 K), EuMg_{0.5}W_{0.5}O₃ (+0.007 K for Gtype), and $EuLu_{0.5}Ta_{0.5}O_3$ (-0.095 K for G-type), i.e., in the order of increasing NNN $Eu^{2+}-Eu^{2+}$ distance: $EuTiO_3$ (5.521 Å), $EuMg_{0.5}W_{0.5}O_3$ (5.588 Å), and $EuLu_{0.5}Ta_{0.5}O_3$ (5.798 Å). In the case of EuZrO₃, the mean NN and NNN Eu²⁺-Eu²⁺ distances (4.109 and 5.809 Å as seen from Table 2) are longer than those in EuTiO₃, respectively, so that the set of exchange constants for G-type $(J_1/$ k = -0.032 K, $J_2/k = +0.017$ K) is more compatible with the variations of J_1 and J_2 values with NN and NNN $Eu^{2+}-Eu^{2+}$ distances than that for A-type $(J_1/k = +0.033 \text{ K}, J_2/k = -0.031 \text{ K})$. Here, it should be noted that there exist differences in the set of exchange constants for the *G*-type AFM ordering between EuLu_{0.5}Ta_{0.5}O₃ and EuZrO₃ despite their similar NN and NNN $Eu^{2+}-Eu^{2+}$ distances. This may be caused by the neglect of the structural distortion in EuZrO₃ involving the distribution of Eu-O-Eu bond angles during the above calculation. In addition, for orthorhombic perovskite EuZrO₃ with magnetic A-site ions, the presence of single-ion anisotropy and magnetic dipole-dipole interactions may lead to spin canting; however, the degree of spin canting should be considerably small in EuZrO₃ since Eu²⁺ is an Sstate ion, which is similar to the case in orthorhombic perovskite $GdAlO_3$ where Gd^{3+} ions are in the same S ground state [27]. Further studies are required to clarify the magnetic structure in ${\rm Eu}Z{\rm rO}_3.$

4. Conclusion

In summary, perovskite EuZrO₃ was synthesized through a high temperature solid-state reaction. Through the Rietveld analysis of XRD data the crystal structure of EuZrO₃ was refined to orthorhombic perovskite (*Pbnm* space group) with lattice constants *a*=5.79469(9), *b*=5.82521(7), and *c*=8.19799(14) Å. The analysis of ¹⁵¹Eu Mössbauer spectrum indicates that almost all the europium ions are present as Eu²⁺ and occupy the distorted sites with non-axial electric field gradients. An AFM behavior of EuZrO₃ is observed below $T_N \sim 4.1$ K with a positive θ_W of 0.07 K. The possible mechanism of the magnetic transition has been discussed.

References

- V.G. Zubkov, A.P. Tyutyunnik, V.A. Pereliaev, G.P. Shveikin, J. Köhler, R.K. Kremer, A. Simon, G. Svensson, J. Alloys Compd. 226 (1995) 24–30.
- K. Ishikawa, G. Adachi, J. Shiokawa, Mater. Res. Bull. 16 (1981) 419-427.
 G.K. Strukova, D.V. Shovkun, V.N. Zverev, I.E. Batov, S.A. Zver'kov, S.S.
- Khasanov, Physica C 351 (2001) 363–370. [4] T.R. McGuire, M.W. Shafer, R.J. Joenk, H.A. Alperin, S.J. Pickart, J. Appl. Phys. 37
- (1966) 981–982.
- [5] C.L. Chien, S. DeBenedetti, F. De Barros, Phys. Rev. B 10 (1974) 3913–3922.
 [6] R. Ranjan, H.S. Nabi, R. Pentcheva, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter. 19 (2007)
- 406217.
- [7] T. Katsufuji, H. Takagi, Phys. Rev. B 64 (2001) 054415.
- [8] K. Fujita, N. Wakasugi, S. Murai, Y. Zong, K. Tanaka, Appl. Phys. Lett. 94 (2009) 062512.
- [9] T. Katsufuji, Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. B 60 (1999) R15021-R15023.
- [10] A.H. Shafer, J. Appl. Phys. 36 (1965) 1145-1152.
- [11] V. Viallet, J.F. Marucco, J. Saint, M. Herbst-Ghysel, N. Dragoe, J. Alloys Compd. 461 (2008) 346–350.
- [12] (a) H.M. Rietveld, Acta Crystallogr. 22 (1967) 151–152;
 (b) A.C. Larson, R.B. Von Dreele, General Structure Analysis System (GSAS), Los Alamos National Laboratory Report LAUR, 2000, pp. 86–748.;
- (c) B.H. Toby, J. Appl. Cryst. 34 (2001) 210–213.
- [13] K. Momma, F. Izumi, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 41 (2008) 653-658.
- [14] S. Sasaki, C.T. Prewitt, R.C. Liebermann, Am. Miner. 68 (1983) 1189-1198.
- [15] M. Wakeshima, Y. Doi, Y. Hinatsu, J. Solid State Chem. 157 (2001) 117-122.
- [16] J.M.D. Coey, A. McEvoy, M.W. Shafer, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 43 (1981) 387-392.
- [17] B.A. Goodmen, N.N. Greenwood, G.E. Turner, Chem. Phys. Lett. 5 (1970) 181–182.
- [18] G.K. Shenoy, B.D. Dunlap, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 71 (1969) 285-291.
- [19] F. Grandjean, G.J. Long, in: G.J. Long, F. Grandjean (Eds.), Mössbauer Spectroscopy Applied to Inorganic Chemistry, vol. 3, Plenum Press, New York, 1989, pp. 513–597.
- [20] K. Fujita, K. Tanaka, K. Hirao, N. Soga, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 81 (1998) 1845–1851.
- [21] O. Berkooz, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 30 (1969) 1763-1766.
- [22] J.H. Van Vleck, The Theory of Electric and Magnetic Susceptibilities, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1932, p. 248.
- [23] L.M. Holmes, R. Sherwood, L.G. Van Uitert, Phys. Rev. 178 (1969) 576-579.
- [24] T. Kasuya, IBM J. Res. Dev. 14 (1970) 214–223.
- [25] J.E. Greedan, G.J. McCarthy, Mater. Res. Bull. 7 (1972) 531-542.
- [26] J.E. Greedan, C.L. Chien, R.G. Johnston, J. Solid State Chem. 19 (1976) 155-160.
- [27] D.C. Cook, J.D. Cashion, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 13 (1980) 4199-4210.